

Evaluation of E-Learning Readiness: A Study of Informational Behavior of University Students

Michael Brückner and Orasa Tetiwat

Abstract—In this study we investigated the behavior of university students from different universities and faculties of Thailand with regard to search, evaluate, use and share information. Our goal was to prepare the introduction of personal information management into the e-learning curriculum. We compare our results with data reported by others. **Method:** For gathering the data we used a questionnaire in Thai language, which was actually translated from the English original and sent to various universities in Thailand. Follow-up interviews with an adapted set of questions were carried out to generate qualitative data and a deeper insight into the knowledge and practices of the students. **Analysis:** Both quantitative and qualitative analyses were carried out on the data coming from 1,317 university students. Quantitative analysis employed the statistical package SPSS. **Results:** We have got a picture of the present informational behavior of Thai students. The results showed some differences between Thai and foreign students, for example in the use of Internet search engines. The insights gained by this study will be applied in the generation of the part of the e-learning curriculum that deals with the students' personal information management and can be applied to informational behavior of students in other countries like Mexico, Brazil, etc.

Index terms—Personal information management, Thailand, university students, e-learning curriculum.

I. INTRODUCTION

THE university system in Thailand began in 1917 with the establishment of the Chulalongkorn University in Bangkok. Today there are many different public and private universities in the country. The established universities in both the government and private sectors offer excellent programs especially in the fields of Medicine, the Arts, Humanities, and Information Technology, although many students prefer to pursue studies of law and business in Western faculties abroad or in those which have created local facilities in Thailand. For an overview of Thailand's educational system, refer to [1]. During the first years of the 21st century, the number of institutions called universities increased dramatically. As university students all over the world Thai students have to deal with the growing amount

of data, information and knowledge being created, evaluated, used and disseminated in many different forms, not at least in e-learning activities.

Thailand has set up a National ICT Education Master Plan recently, as stated in [2], aiming amongst others at integrating technological knowledge (i.e. ICT knowledge) and information management skills to develop the ability to analyze, think creatively, solve problems, and work in teams. This has led to a large number of distance learning activities and projects in the country.

E-learning in Thailand has been studied by Suanpang and Petocz [3] as a case study on a course in Business Statistics at Suan Dusit Rajabhat University with some 1,000 participants. They found that online courses can generate a more favorable learning outcome, for example significantly higher grades, than traditional courses. They explain this in part with the higher use of advanced technological support and diverse materials by online students for their learning than the traditional students had received. They also found that students in online courses assess themselves with a higher score than those in traditional courses.

Students participating in online courses have to know how to find, use, and share information for learning. Personal information management refers to both the practice and the study of human activities to gather, organize, store, retrieve and use information objects such as paper-based, digital and online documents, web pages and email messages for everyday use to complete job-related and other tasks. Since students have to deal with a huge amount of information, personal information management seems to be a necessary skill to achieve; this is especially useful for online courses.

There is not much literature available that deals with the behavior of Thai students using information and the Internet. Focusing on web page design Vitartas and Sangkamanee provided a study on Thai students' use of the Internet ([4]). They carried out a survey among 170 students of Assumption University in Bangkok with the help of a questionnaire. The authors concede that “the findings are limited to a sample size which may not provide adequate representation of all Thai University students”.

In this study we want to show how prepared Thai university students are with regard to online education. For this, we investigated the personal information behavior of

Manuscript received April 25, 2009. Manuscript accepted for publication August 14, 2009.

The authors are with the Department of Computer Science, Faculty of Science, Naresuan University, Phitsanulok 65000, Thailand (e-mail: michaelb@nu.ac.th).

The main body of data is about the students' habits related to information. The questions in the questionnaires and interviews covered the following topics for which the results are given in the tables below:

The main body of data is about the students' habits related to information. The questions in the questionnaires and interviews covered the following topics for which the results are given in the tables below:

- Recognizing information needs (Table III),
- Seeking for information (Table IV),
- Using search engines (Table V),
- Information sources being used (Table VI),
- Using and evaluating information (Table VII),
- Dissemination of information (Table VIII).

TABLE III
RECOGNIZING INFORMATION NEEDS.

Recognizing information needs	Percentage
I recognize information myself	70.3
My teacher tells me when I need	24.1
Others	5.6
When noticing information needs	Percentage
Before an assignment	16.9
Before a test	16.6
For preparing class lectures	13.8
For doing research	11.2
For writing reports and papers	23.1
For entertainment	16.8
Others	1.6

Table III is about recognizing information needs by the students. Because Thai universities are much more like a school, it is not surprising that students tend to recognize

TABLE IV
SEEKING FOR INFORMATION (IN PERCENT).

Question	Always	Mostly	Sometimes	Seldom	Never
Do you plan for seeking information?	11.1	42.6	43.0	2.6	0.7
How often do you use the Internet for finding specific information?	44.3	39.3	16.0	0.3	0.1
Do you use Internet search engines for seeking information?	46.2	33.9	16.1	2.4	1.4
How often do you use the online catalog of your library?	5.5	16.0	42.7	21.4	14.4
How often do you go to the library for seeking information?	6.6	24.9	50.0	15.1	3.3
Do you use textbooks for seeking information?	15.5	46.1	32.8	4.6	1.0

information by themselves through the help of assignments, papers (reports) and tests they have to return to their teachers. The many reports Thai students typically have to prepare during the terms help them to understand information needs rather naturally. On the other hand, quite a few students seem to notice information needs mostly for entertainment (16.8 %).

In Table IV the main data for Thai students' information seeking behavior are summarized. These data were derived from a part of the questionnaire, in which we used the Likert scale ([7]). Whereas it is not surprising that the use of Internet search engines has become second nature to the students, their willingness to plan for their search is remarkably high. 53.7 % of the students plans "always" or "mostly" for their information seeking task. The low rates for using library tools as valuable information sources have been already noticed by many librarians and have led to various initiatives to retain students in library activities. The Internet as a source for information outnumbers textbooks by far: 83.6 % use the Internet "always" or "mostly", in parallel 61.6 % use textbooks "always" or "mostly". We believe that this behavior together with the high planning rate mentioned above offers good chances for offering online courses successfully.

Since search engines are used extensively to get to informative web sites it is interesting to see which of them are actually used.

Table V shows the figures we have got for the use of major search engines. These figures differ surprisingly from those of the USA gained from Sullivan ([8]). Local Thai search portals, i.e. Sanook, Kapook and Hunsu, are in sum equally popular as Google, although Google is accessible via a convenient interface in Thai language. Not surprisingly, Sullivan does lack data for the locally oriented Thai search engines.

In Table VIII the students' behavior related to the dissemination information is presented. Here we asked the students about the frequency by which they give talks, publish papers, turn in reports, upload to blogs or web sites.

The answers were standardized for each kind of dissemination, i.e. "never", "once a year", "more than once in 2 yrs", "more than twice a year" and "more than 4 times a year".

IV. QUALITATIVE RESULTS FROM THE INTERVIEWS

In this section we present some of the qualitative results gathered by interviews with 23 students. The interviews lasted between 39 minutes and 77 minutes with an average duration of 61 minutes and were carried out in the university premises. During the interviews notes were taken according to the predefined questions handed over two or three days in advance to let the participants understand the questions and interview practice.

To obtain more detailed information we used structured interviews with 23 questions that were pre-determined and identical for every interviewee. The interviewer was a university lecturer with a background in computer science and information technology speaking Thai and English. We read out the questions and noted the answers without commenting them.

Because of the limited space we present in the following only some of the results that we find most interesting.

One of the questions was "Do you explore general information sources, such as encyclopedias, to become more familiar with a topic? Which sources do you use?" 20 out of 23 students actually use such sources, and they indicated that they benefit from online encyclopedias, e.g. Wikipedia. This is not surprising, since the Wikipedia project is well-known and has a Thai version available since the end of 2004. We later asked "Have you ever published valuable information in the Internet, such as writing articles for the Wikipedia (not social networks, Hi5)?" This question was answered positively by only one student. Compared with the questionnaire results presented above, which showed that more than 33% of the students publish web sites and blogs regularly, this might be seen as a contradiction. Here we asked for "valuable information" published by the student, so we would assume that a high number of students is active more on social networks, e.g. Hi5, which in fact is a popular element of Thai students' Internet life. This is clearly a point for further study.

The question "Do you know the difference between primary and secondary sources?" was not understood by all students. Since this question cannot be explained, otherwise the interviewer would have given the answer by himself, it works as an examination question about information management. Two students mixed primary and secondary with most important and less important, respectively. 16 students failed to answer at all, making up 5 students who knew the correct answer.

The question "How do you notice when you have enough information and when you need to get more? And if you need more, do you have processes and mechanisms for getting it (e.g., interlibrary loan; using resources at other locations; obtaining images, videos, text, or sound)?" is a complex one. It can be answered in different ways, e.g. in practical terms of a project and with respect to search strategies (precision and recall), and we were curious how the students would respond to it. The students' answers were quite pragmatic as the slight majority (13 students) stated that they stop searching when they feel time pressure to perform the following tasks, e.g. writing the main body of a report, but 8 of this pragmatic group of students return to the searching process if they feel a further need for information. 6 students related the problem to identify, whether or not they had enough information, to the production process during the project. They said if they had a source for every statement they made in their publication and at least another source for the main points they felt satisfied with the amount of information gathered for their work.

We asked the question "Are you comfortable citing different kinds of information?" and got the general impression that the students do not know enough about referencing methods and styles. None of the students answered positively, and from our experience of working with Thai students for some years we can confirm this result.

The questionnaire created for the interviews is presented in the appendix of this paper.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this research we used questionnaires and interviews to gather data about the personal information habits of Thai students. We used quantitative and qualitative methods to analyze the results, which lead to new insights for setting up appropriate learning materials related to the students' personal information management.

From the data we see the need at least for

- Making the students more aware of the problems of copying and pasting materials in their academic texts without citing correctly, and
- Instructing common and appropriate citation methods for sources used in their materials.

All of this will be integrated into a new curriculum on "Methods of Research" introduced recently at the Faculty of Science at Naresuan University.

VI. FURTHER WORK

This study focused mainly on the personal information behavior of Thai students. The comparison with the behavior of students from other countries and educational cultures is difficult because of the different focus groups and different questionnaires used. It is desirable to carry out a cross-cultural study preferably with a common questionnaire and similar focus groups to compare results for the personal information behavior of students in tertiary education.

